

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/829,138	04/20/2004	Yu-Wen Hwang	04150-UPS 5376  EXAMINER		
33804 75	90 09/28/2005				
SUPREME PATENT SERVICES			KIM, ELLEN E		
POST OFFICE SARATOGA, O	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAKATOUA, V	CA 75070		2874		
			DATE MAILED, 00/29/2005		

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/829,138	3	HWANG ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Ellen Kim		2874				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	Iress			
WHI( - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will. Set or s	G DATE OF THI R 1.136(a). In no even riod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	• .							
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•				
2a)□		——. This action is no	n-final.					
3)[								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)[🛛	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election red	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		•				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li></ul>				Stago			
	application from the International Bur			u III uiis Nauonai s	olage			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • •	d.				
				<b>-</b>				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te	450)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ r No(s)/Mail Date		5)	асенс Арріісацоп (РТО-	·152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,138

Art Unit: 2874

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 7, and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Gilligan [USPUB 2003/0152333].

Gilligan discloses a fiber-optic connector and the method comprising:

Preparing a fiber optics sub-assembly [optical fiber cable 13];

Inserting a first end of the sub-assembly into a housing cap 25 and then permeating a sealant 24 [fig. 2] into between the housing cap and the sub-assembly;

Stripping a protective coating a second section of the fiber [column 2, ¶ 0025];

Inserting the second end of the sub-assembly into a hole of a sleeve [21 and 12, or 20] and then permeating a sealant into a between the second section of the fiber and the sleeve hole [fig. 2]; and

Surrounding the housing cap and the sleeve with a housing tube 11.

Art Unit: 2874

Note that the tight bonding and air-tightness after applying sealant is inherently done by the sealant.

In re claim 2, the second portion has a length shorter than the length of the sleeve.

In re claims 9 and 10, applicant fails to establish the definition of the "completely moisture-proof" in the claim. Examiner considers that most material is somewhat completely moisture-proof.

In re claims 11-13, the apparatus claims are clearly read over Gilligan's device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilligan.

Gilligan disclose every aspect of claimed invention except for the tin soldering process.

Official Notice is taken that tin soldering and welding process for the purpose of tight joining between two materials is old and well known in the art. See In Re Malcolm 1942 C.D. 589:543 O.G. 440 MPEP 706.02 (a).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify to include the tin soldering or welding process in the joins between the housing tube and the housing cap, and between the housing tube and the sleeve.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilligan.

Gilligan disclose every aspect of claimed invention except for the claimed material.

It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled person in the art at the time the invention was made to modify to include the claimed material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of is suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Conclusion

In formation regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Art Unit: 2874

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

For all official patent application related correspondence for organizations reporting to the Commissioner of Patents:

- Correspondence that is transmitted by facsimile must be directed to the central facsimile number, (703) 872-9306.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ellen Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-2349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Ellen E. Kim

Primary Examiner /

September 27, 2005/EK